Regioselective and Stereoselective Entry to β , β -Disubstituted Vinyl Ethers via the Sequential Hydroboration/Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling of Ynol Ethers

Weijian Cui, Mengyi Mao, Zuying He, and Gangguo Zhu*

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang Normal University, 688 Yingbin Road, Jinhua 321004, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A highly regio- and stereoselective synthesis of stereodefined $\beta_i\beta$ -disubstituted alkenyl ethers featuring the sequential hydroboration/ Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of ynol ethers has been described. A number of functional groups, including OMe, Ac, CO₂Et, CN, halides, and alkyl, (hetero)aryl, and alkenyl groups, are well-tolerated under the reaction conditions. Furthermore, it allows a facile entry to the labile diary-lacetaldehydes by TFA-mediated hydrolysis of $\beta_i\beta$ -disubstituted vinyl ethers.



INTRODUCTION

Alkenyl ethers possess versatile reactivity in organic chemistry,¹ and there are a diverse array of methods that exist for the assembly of these motifs, such as the olefination of carbonyl compounds, elimination reaction, hydroalkoxylation of acety-lenes, C–O bond formation, and other transformations.^{2–10} In particular, the C–O bond coupling strategy^{2–6} provides a highly regio- and stereoselective approach to prepare vinyl ethers; however, the construction of stereodefined alkenyl coupling precursors, especially the thermodynamically unfavorable *Z*-isomers, is difficult to achieve in many cases. Hence, the development of a simple and general method for the access of stereodefined multisubstituted vinyl ethers is still highly desirable.

On the other hand, the functionalization of ynol ethers^{11–19} has attracted considerable attention over the past decades and provides a straightforward and effective alternative to access alkenyl ethers.^{20–28} As an example, Greene and co-workers²⁰ reported that (*Z*)- or (*E*)-vinyl ethers could be synthesized via the reduction of ynol ethers by Lindlar catalyst or LiAlH₄, respectively. Marek²¹ described an effective regiodivergent synthesis of vinyl ethers featuring the carbocupration of ynol ethers, in which the regioselectivity was determined by the nature of heteroatom substituents. Remarkably, a range of densely substituted vinyl ethers were found to be assembled by the Al-catalyzed,²² Au-catalyzed,²³ Ni-catalyzed,²⁴ or Rh-catalyzed^{25,26} cycloaddition of ynol ethers, respectively reported by Ready,²² Hashimi,²³ Saito,²⁴ and Tanaka.^{25,26} In addition, Daoust et al.^{27,28} demonstrated that either intermolecular or intramolecular radical addition to ynol ethers in good yields.

As part of our ongoing interest in the functionalization of heteroatom-substituted alkynes,^{29–35} we have reported a regioand stereoselective protocol for the preparation of (1E)- α chloroenol ethers via Pd-catalyzed chloroallyaltion of aromatic alkynyl ethers.³⁶ Quite recently, we implemented a facile and concise synthesis of α , β -disubstituted vinyl ethers by the Pdcatalyzed stereospecific addition of boronic acids to ynol ethers.³⁷ Despite the significant success in assembling α,β -disubstituted vinyl ethers, the regio- and stereoselective synthesis of β,β -disubstituted vinyl ethers constitutes a formidable challenge. Indeed, in 1987, a seminal work done by Suzuki and co-workers³⁸ disclosed a two-step procedure for the synthesis of β,β -disubstituted vinyl ethers involving a regioselective hydroboration of ynol ethers using catecholborane (HBcat) followed by a Pd-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling^{39,40} with aryl halides (Scheme 1). However, it was

Scheme 1. Complementary Approaches to Vinyl Ethers



reported that alkenylboronates I obtained by the hydroboration of ynol ethers with HBcat were air-sensitive,⁴¹ therefore significantly limiting the synthetic utility of this protocol. Meanwhile, since the report by Knochel,⁴² pinacolborane (HBpin) has been widely employed in acetylenic hydroboration reaction^{43–54} due to the improved reactivity and stability. For example, the regioselective hydroboration of ynol ethers with HBpin was realized by Hoffman,⁴⁸ including the catalytic

Received: July 13, 2013 Published: September 5, 2013

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

reaction using Cp₂ZrHCl as the catalyst and the noncatalytic version, although only one example was reported in the latter case. In light of these advances, we envisioned that the regioselective hydroboration of ynol ethers with HBpin⁴⁸ followed by a subsequent Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction^{39,40} would provide an operationally simple, highly efficient, and one-pot method for the synthesis of β , β -disubstituted vinyl ethers (Scheme 1). Clearly, compared with our previous report,³⁷ it may provide a complementary method to assemble polysubstituted vinyl ethers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At the outset, the ynol ether **1a**, which was easily prepared by Evano's method,⁵⁵ was chosen for examining the reaction. By treating a solution of **1a** in THF with 1.1 equiv of neat HBpin at room temperature for 1 h, 5 mol % Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, 2.0 equiv of Cs₂CO₃, and 1.2 equiv of PhI (**2a**) were added. To our delight, the β , β -disubstituted vinyl ether **3aa** was obtained in 45% yield (Table 1, entry 1), and no other regio- and

Tabl	e 1.	Screening	of	the	Reaction	Cond	litions'
------	------	-----------	----	-----	----------	------	----------

		i) HBpin, THF, rt		
		ii) PdX _n , PPh ₃ , bas	е, 2а	O 3 tol
<i>n</i> -Bu		I HF, reflux	>	=/
	1a		Ph 3	aa
entry	PdX_n	base	solvent	yield ^{b} (%)
1	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	Cs ₂ CO ₃	THF	45
2	$Pd(OAc)_2$	Cs_2CO_3	THF	78
3	$Pd(dba)_2$	Cs_2CO_3	THF	92
4	$Pd(PPh_3)_4$	Cs ₂ CO ₃	THF	86
5	$Pd_2(dba)_3$	Cs ₂ CO ₃	THF	85
6	$Pd(dba)_2$	Cs_2CO_3	THF	89 (92:8) ^{c,d}
7	$Pd(dba)_2$	Cs ₂ CO ₃	THF	84 ^e
8	$Pd(dba)_2$	Cs_2CO_3	THF	5^{f}
9	$Pd(dba)_2$	K ₂ CO ₃	THF	84
10	$Pd(dba)_2$	K ₃ PO ₄	THF	76
11	$Pd(dba)_2$	t-BuOK	THF	74
12	$Pd(dba)_2$	Na ₂ CO ₃	THF	67
13	$Pd(dba)_2$	KF	THF	76
14	$Pd(dba)_2$	CsF	THF	69
15	$Pd(dba)_2$	Cs ₂ CO ₃	dioxane	55
16	$Pd(dba)_2$	Cs ₂ CO ₃	toluene	67

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: (i) 1a (0.5 mmol), HBPin (0.55 mmol), rt, 1–2 h; (ii) 2a (0.6 mmol), PdX_n (5 mol %), PPh_3 (10 mol %), base (1.0 mmol), reflux, 4 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}PdL_n was added in step i. ^{*d*}The regioisomeric ratio (rr = 92:8) was determined by GC. ^{*e*}A 1 equiv portion of Cs₂CO₃ was used. ^{*f*}Without PPh₃.

stereoisomers were observed by NMR and GC–MS analysis. Encouraged by this result, we further investigated other conditions for this sequential reaction.

Pleasingly, the combination of 5 mol % Pd(dba)₂ and 10 mol % PPh₃ worked better and resulted in **3aa** in 92% yield, while the omission of PPh₃ ligand furnished only a very low (5%) yield (Table 1, entries 3 and 8). Other palladium catalysts, including Pd(PPh₃)₄ and Pd₂(dba)₃, led to **3aa** in slightly reduced yields (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). Noteworthy, it was reported by Miyaura and Suzuki⁴⁰ that palladium complexes could catalyze the hydroboration of alkynes. As such, we tried the reaction utilizing Pd(dba)₂ and PPh₃ as the catalyst for both the hydroboration and coupling reaction; however, the hydroboration/coupling sequence produced 89% **3aa** with a

lower regioselectivity (92:8) (Table 1, entry 6), indicating that an uncatalyzed hydroboration⁵⁶ followed a subsequent Suzuki– Miyaura coupling appeared to be the most suitable procedure. Next we employed Pd(dba)₂ together with PPh₃ to perform further optimization. Of the bases we examined, Cs_2CO_3 seemed to be the most effective, although other bases such as K_2CO_3 , K_3PO_4 , and *t*-BuOK also produced **3aa** in good yields (Table 1, entries 3 and 9–14). Additionally, the reaction performed in other solvents, including dioxane and toluene, just led to decreased yields (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Therefore, further substrate screening was carried out using 5 mol % Pd(dba)₂, 10 mol % PPh₃, and 2 equiv of Cs_2CO_3 in THF at reflux for 4 h.

As shown in Table 2, the scope of this reaction with respect to halide electrophiles (\mathbb{R}^3X) was found to be quite satisfactory. Both electron-rich and electron-poor organic halides proved to be effective coupling partners, giving desired $\beta_{,\beta}$ -disubstituted vinyl ethers in good yields (Table 2). In particular, the reaction of 4-MeC₆H₄I (**2b**), 3-MeC₆H₄I (**2c**), and 2-MeC₆H₄I (**2d**) afforded the desired products **3ab**-**ad** in 84%, 82%, and 78% yield, respectively, indicating that the steric effect had little influence on this sequential reaction (Table 2, entries 2–4). A number of functional groups, including Me, OMe, Ac, CO₂Et, CN, halides, and heteroaryl and alkenyl groups, were found to be very compatible under the reaction conditions. It should be noted that, as for 4-BrC₆H₄I (**2g**), the competitive coupling of the C–Br bond was also observed (<20%), thereby leading to a slight decrease of the yield of **3ag** (Table 2, entry 7).

Besides that of iodobenzenes, the reaction of bromobenzenes and bromoalkenes proceeded smoothly as well and generated $\beta_{\beta}\beta$ -disubstituted vinyl ethers in excellent regioselectivity. In particular, the reaction of 3-thienyl bromide (2p) led to 3ap in reasonable yield (Table 2, entry 16). Notably, the coupling of 1a with vinyl bromides 2q-s furnished the stereodefined dienyl ethers 3aq-as in good yields (Table 2, entries 17-19). On the other hand, the ynol ether component was varied, and as a result, ynol ether (1d) coupled successfully with 2a to form 3da in an excellent yield (Table 2, entry 22). In contrast, ynol ethers 1e and 1f, bearing a terminal alkene functionality, gave the corresponding vinyl ethers 3ea and 3fa in respective yields of 65% and 64%, together with formation of some (<15%) byproducts resulting from the competitive alkenyl hydroboration followed by the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction (Table 2, entries 23 and 24). Interestingly, the sequential reaction of sterically demanding substrate 1g with 2a produced 86% 3ga as a mixture of two regioisomers at a ratio of 5:1. This implied that the sterically demanding Cy group might disfavor the β -phenylation of ynol ethers, therefore resulting in decreased regioselectivity (Table 2, entry 25).

Additionally, the reaction of aryl ynol ethers occurred uneventfully and generated the desired products in good yields. For instance, 1-ethoxy-2-phenylacetylene (1h) coupled with 2a, 2b, and 2f smoothly to provide the corresponding β , β disubstituted vinyl ethers in good yields and perfect regioselectivity (Table 2, entries 26–28). Notably, the reaction of 1j afforded 3ja in 78% yield with a slightly decreased regioselectivity (rr = 9:1), whereas 1k furnished an 88% yield of 3ka in a single β -regioisomer (Table 2, entries 30 and 31), indicating that the utilization of sterically hindered R² group could increase the regioselectivity of β -substitution of ynol ethers, probably owing to the steric interaction in the hydroboration step. Furthermore, we were pleased to find that the hydroxy group was well tolerated, although only a

	ii) P <u>β α</u> P2	dL_n, Cs_2CO_3, R^3X $HF, reflux$ $R^1 OR^2$	
	1	R ³ 3	
entry	$\mathrm{R}^{1}/\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (1)	R ³ X (2)	yield ^{b} (%)
1	<i>n</i> -Bu/3-tol (1a)	PhI (2a)	92 (3aa)
2	1a	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ I (2b)	84 (3ab)
3	1a	$3-MeC_{6}H_{4}I(2c)$	82 (3ac)
4	1a	$2-MeC_{6}H_{4}I(2d)$	78 (3ad)
5	1a	$4\text{-FC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\text{I}$ (2e)	90 (3ae)
6	1a	$4-\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_4\text{I}$ (2f)	85 (3af)
7	1a	$4\text{-BrC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\text{I}(2\mathbf{g})$	55 (3ag)
8	1a	4-OMeC ₆ H ₄ I (2h)	75 (3ah)
9	1a	4-CH ₃ COC ₆ H ₄ I (2i)	70 (3ai)
10	1a	PhBr (2j)	86 (3aa)
11	1a	$4-MeC_6H_4Br$ (2k)	80 (3ab)
12	1a	4-FC ₆ H ₄ Br (2l)	88 (3ae)
13	1a	4-OMeC ₆ H ₄ Br (2m)	67 (3ah)
14	1a	$4-CO_2EtC_6H_4Br$ (2n)	73 (3an)
15	1a	3-CNC ₆ H ₄ Br (20)	81 (3ao)
16	1a	3-thienyl bromide (2p)	60 (3ap)
17	1a	(E)-styryl bromide (2q)	71 (3aq)
18	1a	(E)-4-ClC ₆ H ₄ CH=CHBr ($2r$)	70 (3ar)
19	1a	(E)-4-OMeC ₆ H ₄ CH=CHBr (2s)	62 (3as)
20	<i>n</i> -Bu/Ph (1b)	2a	86 (3ba)
21	n-Bu/4-ClC ₆ H ₄ (1c)	2a	88 (3ca)
22	$PhCH_2CH_2/3$ -tol (1d)	2a	92 (3da)
23	$CH_2 = CHCH_2/Ph$ (1e)	2a	65 (3ea)
24	$CH_2 = CHCH_2/3$ -tol (1f)	2a	64 (3fa)
25	Cy/3-tol (1g)	2a	86 ^c (3ga)
26	Ph/Et (1h)	2a	81 (3ha)
27	Ph/Et (1h)	2b	80 (3hb)
28	Ph/Et (1h)	2f	84 (3hf)
29	4-OMeC ₆ H ₄ /Et (1i)	2a	87 (3ia)
30	$4-\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_4/\text{Et} (1j)$	2a	78^d (3ja)
31	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ / t -Bu (1k)	2a	88 (3ka)
32	CH ₂ OH/ <i>t</i> -Bu (11)	2a	55 (3la)
33	H/Ph(1m)	2a	62 (3ma)

i) HBpin, THF, rt

^aReaction conditions: see Table 1. ^bIsolated yield. ^cCombined yield of two regioisomers at a ratio of 5:1. ^dCombined yield of two regioisomers at a ratio of 9:1.

moderate yield was observed (Table 2, entry 32). Finally, the terminal alkynyl ether **1m** also underwent the sequential hydroboration/Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction smoothly and gave **3ma** in a reasonable yield (Table 2, entry 33). The regio- and stereochemistry of β , β -disubstituted vinyl ethers **3** was determined by NOE measurements.⁵⁷ Therefore, we have realized an operationally simple and effective protocol for the elaboration of stereodefined β , β -disubstituted vinyl ethers from the readily available ynol ethers,^{20,55,58} which is highlighted by the broad substrate scope, mild reaction conditions, and excellent regio- and stereocontrol.

Next the synthetic utility of this method was explored by treating vinyl ether **3ha** with 5 equiv of CF_3CO_2H (TFA) in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature. After being stirred for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ solution, followed by extraction and concentration to give 2,2-diphenylacetaldehyde $(4a)^{59}$ in 85% yield (note that 4a will decompose in the presence of silica gel) (Scheme 2). Furthermore, the reaction worked well for other β , β -disubstituted vinyl ethers, including **3hb** and **3hf**, producing

Scheme 2. Preparation of Diarylacetaldehydes from 3

Ph_OEt Ar 3	$CF_{3}CO_{2}H$ $CH_{2}Cl_{2}, rt, 1 h$	► Ph Ar 4	
3ha (Ar = Ph)	3ha (Ar = Ph)		
3hb (Ar = 4-Me	3hb (Ar = 4-Me-C ₆ H ₄)		
3hf (Ar = 4-Cl-	3hf (Ar = 4-Cl-C ₆ H ₄)		

 α -branched aldehydes **4b**⁶⁰ and **4c** in excellent yields. It is worth mentioning that diarylacetaldehydes are usually a class of air- and light-sensitive compounds in organic chemistry.⁶¹ As such, the method developed here provides an effective and mild protocol for the synthesis of diarylacetaldehydes in excellent yields with satisfactory purity.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have realized a novel method for the highly regio- and stereoselective synthesis of $\beta_{,\beta}$ -disubstituted vinyl ethers from the readily accessible ynol ethers via a hydro-

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

boration/Suzuki–Miyaura coupling sequence. The notable features of this reaction include the readily available catalytic system, broad substrate scope, high efficiency, and excellent regio- and stereoselectivity. Moreover, it offers a very effective access to the labile diarylacetaldehydes via the hydrolysis of β , β -disubstituted vinyl ethers promoted by TFA. As such, we believe that it will contribute to the progress of synthetic chemistry.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. Toluene, THF, and dioxane were distilled from sodium prior to use. Unless otherwise noted, materials obtained from commercial suppliers were used without further purification. Commercial available neat pinacolborane (HBpin) was employed as the hydroborating reagent. ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were measured on a 400 or 600 MHz NMR spectrometer using CDCl₃ as the solvent with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. Chemical shifts are given in δ relative to TMS, and the coupling constants are given in hertz. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (300– 400 mesh). High-resolution mass spectral (HRMS) analyses were carried out using a TOF MS instrument with an EI or ESI source.

General Procedure for the Sequential Hydroboration/ Suzuki-Miyaura Coupling of Ynol Ethers. To a solution of 1a (94 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 1 mL of THF was added neat HBpin (71 mg, 0.55 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for around 1.5 h (determined by GC), Pd(dba)₂ (14 mg, 0.025 mmol), PPh₃ (13 mg, 0.05 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ (326 mg, 1.0 mmol), and PhI (123 mg, 0.6 mmol) were added. After being stirred at reflux for 4 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ethers:EtOAc = 50:1) to give 122 mg (92% yield) of 3aa as a colorless oil. Compound 3aa was also prepared from 2j in 86% yield (114 mg): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45–7.34 (m, 4 H), 7.33–7.21 (m, 2 H), 6.94–6.87 (m, 3 H), 6.76 (s, 1 H), 2.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H), 1.52-1.34 (m, 4 H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.7, 139.8, 139.1, 139.0, 129.3, 128.4, 126.6, 126.3, 125.7, 123.4, 117.0, 113.3, 30.3, 26.8, 22.5, 21.4, 13.9; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 266 (M⁺, 53), 223 (23), 195 (88), 180 (54), 117 (100); HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C19H22O (M⁺) 266.1671, found 266.1673.

Data for compound **3ab**: 117 mg, 84% yield from **2b**, colorless oil; it was also prepared from **2k** in 80% yield (112 mg); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.28–7.17 (m, 3 H), 6.95–6.85 (m, 3 H), 6.73 (s, 1 H), 2.68 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H), 1.53–1.34 (m, 4 H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.7, 139.7, 138.4, 136.3, 136.1, 129.3, 129.1, 126.2, 125.7, 123.3, 117.0, 113.2, 30.3, 26.8, 22.5, 21.4, 21.1, 13.9; MS (EI, *m/z*) 280 (M⁺, 100), 237 (23), 223 (22), 209 (68), 179 (48); HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O (M⁺) 280.1827, found 280.1826.

Data for compound **3ac**: 115 mg, 82% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33–7.21 (m, 4 H), 7.18–7.10 (m, 1 H), 6.96–6.89 (m, 3 H), 6.77 (s, 1 H), 2.71 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H), 1.54–1.37 (m, 4 H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.7, 139.7, 139.1, 138.9, 137.9, 129.3, 128.3, 127.4, 127.0, 125.8, 123.4, 123.3, 117.0, 113.3, 30.3, 26.9, 22.5, 21.5, 21.4, 13.9; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 280 (M⁺, 100), 237 (20), 209 (51), 194 (20), 179 (27); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O (M⁺) 280.1827, found 280.1832.

Data for compound **3ad**: 109 mg, 78% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32–7.19 (m, 5 H), 6.95–6.85 (m, 3 H), 6.41 (s, 1 H), 2.58 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H), 1.44–1.36 (m, 4 H), 0.97–0.90 (m, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.6, 139.7, 139.1, 139.0, 136.6, 130.1, 130.1, 129.3, 127.0, 126.1, 125.4, 123.1, 116.8, 113.0, 29.8, 28.9, 22.7, 21.4, 20.2, 13.9; MS (EI, *m/z*) 280 (M⁺, 100), 237 (29), 209 (48), 194 (17), 179 (38); HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O (M⁺) 280.1827, found 280.1830.

Data for compound **3ae**: 128 mg, 90% yield from **2e**, colorless oil; it was also prepared from **2l** in 88% yield (125 mg); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (dd, J = 8.2, 5.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.28 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.10 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.00–6.90 (m, 3 H), 6.74 (s, 1 H), 2.72 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 1.53–1.38 (m, 4 H), 0.98 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.9 (d, *J* = 245.4 Hz), 157.6, 139.8, 138.8, 135.1, 129.3, 127.8 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 124.8, 123.6, 117.0, 115.3 (d, *J* = 21.3 Hz), 113.3, 30.2, 27.0, 22.4, 21.4, 13.9; ¹⁹F NMR (565 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –116.18; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 284 (M⁺, 100), 265 (69), 293 (47), 207 (37); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₉H₂₁FO (M⁺) 284.1576, found 284.1570.

Data for compound **3af**: 128 mg, 85% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.31 (m, 4 H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 1 H), 6.97–6.87 (m, 3 H), 6.76 (s, 1 H), 2.68 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H), 1.52–1.35 (m, 4 H), 0.95 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 139.8, 139.4, 137.6, 132.3, 129.4, 128.6, 127.5, 124.5, 123.6, 117.1, 113.4, 30.2, 26.7, 22.4, 21.4, 13.9; MS (EI, *m/z*) 302 (15), 300 (M⁺, 48), 194 (59), 151 (49), 125 (100); HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₁₉H₂₁ClO (M⁺) 300.1281, found 300.1278.

Data for compound **3ag**: 95 mg, 55% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50–7.44 (m, 2 H), 7.29–7.20 (m, 3 H), 6.93–6.85 (m, 3 H), 6.73 (s, 1 H), 2.64 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H), 1.46–1.31 (m, 4 H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 139.8, 139.4, 138.1, 131.5, 129.4, 127.8, 124.5, 123.6, 120.3, 117.1, 113.4, 30.2, 26.7, 22.4, 21.4, 13.9; MS (EI, *m/z*) 346 (4), 344 (M⁺, 4), 221 (5), 194 (100), 179 (61); HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₁₉H₂₁BrO (M⁺) 344.0776, found 344.0778.

Data for compound **3***ah*: 111 mg, 75% yield from **2h**, colorless oil; it was prepared from **2m** in 67% yield (99 mg); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.28–7.20 (m, 1 H), 6.98–6.86 (m, 5 H), 6.69 (s, 1 H), 3.86 (s, 3 H), 2.67 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H), 1.51–1.34 (m, 4 H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.6, 157.7, 139.7, 137.9, 131.5, 129.3, 127.3, 125.5, 123.2, 116.9, 113.9, 113.2, 55.2, 30.3, 27.0, 22.5, 21.4, 13.9; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 296 (M⁺, 100), 253 (8), 239 (13), 145 (37), 121 (72); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O₂ (M⁺) 296.1776, found 296.1780.

Data for compound **3ai**: 108 mg, 70% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 1 H), 6.94–6.83 (m, 4 H), 2.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.62 (s, 3 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H), 1.50–1.32 (m, 4 H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.6, 157.4, 144.2, 140.9, 139.9, 135.2, 129.4, 128.7, 125.9, 124.2, 123.9, 117.3, 113.5, 30.3, 26.5, 26.4, 22.4, 21.4, 13.9; MS (EI, m/z) 308 (M⁺, 10), 265 (87), 250 (13), 175 (43), 117 (100); HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₂₁H₂₄O₂ (M⁺) 308.1776, found 308.1777.

Data for compound **3an**: 123 mg, 73% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08–8.04 (m, 2 H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.98–6.86 (m, 4 H), 4.43 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H), 1.51–1.37 (m, 7 H), 0.95 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 157.4, 143.9, 140.6, 139.8, 129.7, 129.4, 128.5, 125.7, 124.4, 123.8, 117.2, 113.5, 60.8, 30.3, 26.4, 22.4, 21.4, 14.3, 13.8; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 338 (M⁺, 27), 221 (21), 195 (39), 178 (50), 163 (100); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₂H₂₆O₃ (M⁺) 338.1882, found 338.1886.

Data for compound **3ao**: 118 mg, 81% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.67 (t, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.63–7.60 (m, 1 H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 1 H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.91–6.86 (m, 2 H), 6.80 (s, 1 H), 2.78 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H), 1.47–1.34 (m, 4 H), 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.3, 140.7, 140.6, 139.9, 130.4, 129.9, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 124.0, 123.3, 119.0, 117.2, 113.5, 112.6, 30.1, 26.5, 22.4, 21.4, 13.8; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 291 (M⁺, 24), 270 (10), 195 (56), 180 (45), 174 (100); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₁NO (M⁺) 291.1623, found 291.1615.

Data for compound **3ap**: 82 mg, 60% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.23–7.16 (m, 1 H), 7.11–7.06 (m, 1 H), 6.99–6.95 (m, 2 H), 6.91 (s, 1 H), 6.90–6.82 (m, 3 H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 1.59–1.49 (m, 2 H), 1.45–1.33 (m, 2 H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.4, 142.8, 139.8, 138.4, 129.4, 127.2, 123.6, 122.4, 122.3, 120.1, 117.2, 113.4, 30.6, 27.9, 22.5, 21.4, 13.9; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 273 (17), 272 (M⁺, 100), 243 (12), 215 (50), 167 (71); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₇H₂₀OS (M⁺) 272.1235, found 272.1232.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Data for compound **3aq**: 104 mg, 71% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.28–7.18 (m, 2 H), 6.96–6.85 (m, 3 H), 6.79–6.71 (m, 2 H), 6.56 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.53 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H), 1.61–1.40 (m, 4 H), 1.02–0.94 (m, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.4, 143.4, 139.8, 138.0, 129.4, 128.6, 127.4, 126.7, 125.9, 125.2, 124.2, 123.7, 117.2, 113.5, 30.6, 23.9, 22.7, 21.4, 14.0; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 292 (M⁺, 71), 235 (6), 184 (42), 141 (93), 129 (100); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₁H₂₄O (M⁺) 292.1827, found 292.1828.

Data for compound **3ar**: 114 mg, 70% yield, white solid, mp 77– 79 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.17 (m, 5 H), 6.92–6.80 (m, 3 H), 6.74–6.63 (m, 2 H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.48 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 1.58–1.35 (m, 4 H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.2, 143.8, 139.8, 136.5, 132.0, 129.4, 128.7, 128.1, 127.0, 123.9, 123.8, 123.7, 117.2, 113.5, 30.5, 23.9, 22.7, 21.4, 14.0; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 328 (17), 326 (M⁺, 56), 283 (100), 235 (34), 172 (17); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₁H₂₃ClO (M⁺) 326.1437, found 326.1435.

Data for compound **3as**: 100 mg, 62% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.00–6.80 (m, 5 H), 6.72 (s, 1 H), 6.64 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.52 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H), 1.62–1.40 (m, 4 H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.5, 157.4, 142.5, 139.8, 130.8, 129.3, 126.9, 125.3, 124.7, 124.4, 123.5, 117.1, 114.0, 113.4, 55.2, 30.6, 23.9, 22.7, 21.4, 14.0; MS (EI, m/z) 322 (M⁺, 12), 307 (80), 234 (45), 214 (100), 198 (87); HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₂₂H₂₆O₂ (M⁺) 322.1933, found 322.1923.

Data for compound **3ba**: 108 mg, 86% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 4 H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 1 H), 7.15–7.10 (m, 3 H), 6.79 (s, 1 H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.54–1.39 (m, 4 H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.7, 139.1, 138.9, 129.6, 128.4, 126.7, 126.3, 126.0, 122.6, 116.3, 30.3, 26.9, 22.5, 13.9; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 252 (M⁺, 100), 209 (19), 181 (63), 166 (27), 131 (12); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₈H₂₀O (M⁺) 252.1514, found 252.1512.

Data for compound **3ca**: 125 mg, 88% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45–7.38 (m, 4 H), 7.34–7.32 (m, 3 H), 7.07–7.02 (m, 2 H), 6.70 (s, 1 H), 2.71 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.50–1.37 (m, 4 H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.2, 138.4, 129.5, 129.4, 128.5, 126.9, 126.3, 125.1, 117.5, 116.7, 30.2, 26.9, 22.5, 13.9; MS (EI, *m/z*) 288 (31), 286 (M⁺, 100), 215 (30), 179 (42), 165 (17); HRMS (EI, *m/z*) calcd for C₁₈H₁₉ClO (M⁺) 286.1124, found 286.1125.

Data for compound **3da**: 144 mg, 92% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.32 (m, 4 H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 3 H), 7.21–7.13 (m, 4 H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.80–6.76 (m, 2 H), 6.71 (s, 1 H), 2.96 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.76 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 142.0, 139.7, 139.6, 138.7, 129.3, 128.5, 128.5, 128.2, 126.8, 126.2, 125.7, 124.5, 123.5, 117.1, 113.4, 34.4, 29.2, 21.4; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 314 (M⁺, 63), 223 (69), 195 (100), 180 (30), 165 (44); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₂₃H₂₂O (M⁺) 314.1671, found 314.1662.

Data for compound **3ea**: 77 mg, 65% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.43–7.35 (m, 4 H), 7.34–7.30 (m, 1 H), 7.17–7.10 (m, 3 H), 6.92 (s, 1 H), 6.04–5.90 (m, 1 H), 5.21 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.49 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 139.7, 138.7, 135.8, 129.6, 128.4, 126.8, 126.0, 122.8, 122.7, 116.5, 115.5, 31.9; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 236 (M⁺, 13), 212 (43), 159 (100), 142 (32); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₇H₁₆O (M⁺) 236.1201, found 236.1201.

Data for compound **3fa**: 80 mg, 64% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46–7.41 (m, 2 H), 7.39–7.33 (m, 2 H), 7.31–7.22 (m, 2 H), 6.96–6.86 (m, 4 H), 5.99–5.87 (m, 1 H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.04 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.44 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 139.8, 138.8, 135.9, 129.4, 128.4, 126.7, 126.0, 125.4, 123.6, 122.5, 117.2, 115.4, 113.4, 31.9, 21.4; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 250 (M⁺, 32), 226 (64), 172

(100), 156 (25); HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₁₈H₁₈O (M⁺) 250.1358, found 250.1356.

Data for compound **3ga**: 126 mg, 86% yield, colorless oil, rr = 5:1; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) (β-regioisomer) δ 7.44–7.34 (m, 5 H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 1 H), 7.00–6.95 (m, 3 H), 6.49 (s, 1 H), 2.92 (ddt, J = 15.3, 12.3, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H), 1.94–1.61 (m, 6 H), 1.49–1.19 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) (β-regioisomer) δ 157.6, 139.7, 139.6, 138.8, 131.4, 129.3, 128.7, 127.9, 126.6, 123.3, 117.0, 113.2, 39.7, 31.4, 26.9, 26.1, 21.4; MS (EI, m/z) 291 (M⁺, 100), 249 (2), 185 (28), 155 (11), 141 (47); HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₂₁H₂₄O (M⁺) 292.1827, found 292.1826.

Data for compound **3ha**: 91 mg, 81% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52–7.47 (m, 2 H), 7.42–7.26 (m, 8 H), 6.57 (s, 1 H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.41 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.1, 140.7, 137.8, 129.8, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 126.3, 126.3, 120.2, 68.9, 15.4; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 225 (14), 224 (M⁺, 87), 196 (42), 195 (25), 167 (100); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₆H₁₆O (M⁺) 224.1201, found 224.1203.

Data for compound **3hb**: 95 mg, 80% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44–7.38 (m, 2 H), 7.34–7.27 (m, 2 H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 1 H), 7.20–7.02 (m, 4 H), 6.47 (s, 1 H), 3.97 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 144.7, 137.9, 137.8, 136.0, 129.8, 128.9, 128.3, 127.8, 126.3, 120.0, 68.8, 21.1, 15.4; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 238 (M⁺, 74), 209 (29), 181 (93), 165 (100); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₇H₁₈O (M⁺) 238.1358, found 238.1356.

Data for compound **3hf**: 108 mg, 84% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40–7.28 (m, 4 H), 7.27–7.19 (m, 3 H), 7.17–7.12 (m, 2 H), 6.48 (s, 1 H), 3.99 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.3, 139.2, 137.3, 132.0, 129.7, 129.5, 128.3, 128.0, 126.6, 119.2, 69.1, 15.4; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 260 (18), 258 (M⁺, 57), 230 (25), 203 (16), 165 (100); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₆H₁₅ClO (M⁺) 258.0811, found 258.0814.

Data for compound **3ia**: 110 mg, 87% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 2 H), 7.23–7.19 (m, 3 H), 6.87–6.83 (m, 2 H), 6.43 (s, 1 H), 3.95 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 1.32 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.0, 144.3, 140.9, 130.9, 130.2, 128.3, 128.1, 126.2, 119.8, 113.3, 68.7, 55.1, 15.4; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 254 (M⁺, 21), 239 (12), 210 (15), 194 (100), 178 (41); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₇H₁₈O₂ (M⁺) 254.1307, found 254.1306.

Data for compound **3***ja*: 101 mg, 78% yield, colorless oil, rr = 9:1; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) (β-regioisomer) δ 7.42–7.36 (m, 2 H), 7.36–7.27 (m, 5 H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 2 H), 6.53 (s, 1 H), 4.03 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.38 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) (β-regioisomer) δ 145.5, 140.2, 136.2, 131.9, 131.1, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 126.5, 119.1, 69.1, 15.4; MS (EI, m/z) 260 (19), 258 (M⁺, 56), 230 (34), 203 (32), 165 (100); HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₁₆H₁₅ClO (M⁺) 258.0811, found 258.0814.

Data for compound **3ka**: 126 mg, 88% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42–7.22 (m, 9 H), 6.73 (s, 1 H), 1.41 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 141.0, 140.2, 136.6, 133.8, 133.7, 131.1, 128.6, 128.3, 127.9, 126.4, 77.7, 28.2; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 288 (4), 286 (M⁺, 13), 229 (100), 195 (20), 165 (69); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₈H₁₉ClO (M⁺) 286.1124, found 286.1131.

Data for compound **3***la*: 57 mg, 55% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41–7.31 (m, 5 H), 6.74 (s, 1 H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.04 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.39 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.7, 138.6, 128.5, 126.2, 125.7, 119.9, 77.6, 59.3, 28.1; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 206 (M⁺, 9), 182 (20), 150 (31), 132 (100), 119 (58); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₃H₁₈O₂ (M⁺) 206.1307, found 206.1308.

Data for compound **3ma**: 61 mg, 62% yield, colorless oil; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44–7.34 (m, 6 H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 2 H), 7.19–7.11 (m, 3 H), 6.42 (d, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.1, 143.4, 135.1, 129.7, 128.7, 126.6, 125.6, 123.2, 116.9, 113.6; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 196 (M⁺, 100), 119 (87), 103 (36), 101 (31); HRMS (EI, *m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₄H₁₂O (M⁺) 196.0888, found 196.0888. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Diarylacetaldehydes via TFA-Promoted Hydrolysis of *β*,*β*-Disubstituted Vinyl

Ethers. To a solution of **3ha** (112 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 2 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added CF₃CO₂H (285 mg, 2.5 mmol). After being stirred at room temperature for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ solution, extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with brine, and dried. Concentration gave 83 mg (85% yield) of compound **4a**⁵⁹ as a pale yellow oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.99 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4 H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 4 H), 4.93 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.6, 136.3, 129.1, 129.0, 127.6, 64.1; MS (EI, m/z) 196 (M⁺, 11), 167 (100), 152 (63), 139 (12), 115 (13). Data for compound **4b**:⁶⁰ 94 mg, 89% yield, pale yellow oil; ¹H

Data for compound **4b**:⁶⁰ 94 mg, 89% yield, pale yellow oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.96 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.42–7.36 (m, 2 H), 7.35–7.32 (m, 1 H), 7.27–7.19 (m, 4 H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.88 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.8, 137.4, 136.5, 133.2, 129.7, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 127.5, 63.7, 21.1; MS (EI, *m*/*z*) 210 (M⁺, 3), 181 (100), 165 (43), 152 (6).

Data for compound **4c**: 112 mg, 97% yield, pale yellow oil; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.95 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.46–7.33 (m, 5 H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 2 H), 7.21–7.15 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.91 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.0, 135.7, 134.8, 133.6, 130.4, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 127.8, 63.3; MS (EI, m/z) 232 (2), 230 (M⁺, 6), 201 (100), 165 (78), 139 (10); HRMS (EI, m/z) calcd for C₁₄H₁₁ClO (M⁺) 230.0498, found 230.0493.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Spectroscopic data of products **3** and **4**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: gangguo@zjnu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (Grant LR12B02001), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 20902084 and 21172199), and Open Research Fund of the Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Advanced Catalysis Materials (Grant ZJHX201310).

REFERENCES

- (1) Dehi, J. R.; Legros, J.; Bolm, C. Chem. Commun. 2005, 973.
- (2) Nordmann, G.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4978.
- (3) Willis, M. C.; Taylor, D.; Gillmore, A. T. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2222.
- (4) Alcazar, E.; Pletcher, J. M.; McDonald, F. E. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3877.
- (5) Shade, R. E.; Hyde, A. M.; Olsen, J.-C.; Merlic, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1202.
- (6) Winternheimer, D. J.; Merlic, C. A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2508.
- (7) DeBergh, J. R.; Spivey, K. M.; Ready, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7828.
- (8) Song, Z.; Kui, L.; Sun, X.; Li, L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1440.
- (9) Winternheimer, D. J.; Shade, R. E.; Merlic, C. A. *Synthesis* **2010**, 2497 and references therein.
- (10) Whelligan, D. K.; Thomson, D. W.; Taylor, D.; Hoelder, S. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 11.
- (11) Shindo, M.; Kita, T.; Kumagai, T.; Matsumoto, K.; Shishido, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 1062.
- (12) Sosa, J. R.; Tudjarian, A. A.; Minehan, T. G. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5091.
- (13) Mak, X. Y.; Ciccolini, R. P.; Robinson, J. M.; Tester, J. W.; Danheiser, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 9381.

- (14) Davies, P. W.; Cremonesi, A.; Dumitrescu, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8931.
- (15) Komine, Y.; Tanaka, K. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1312.
- (16) Mejuch, T.; Botoshansky, M.; Marek, I. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3604.
- (17) Tran, V.; Minehan, T. G. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6588.
- (18) Tran, V.; Minehan, T. G. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6100.
- (19) Verrier, C.; Carret, S.; Poisson, J.-F. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5122.
- (20) Moyano, A.; Charbonnier, F.; Greene, A. E. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2919.
- (21) Levin, A.; Basheer, A.; Marek, I. Synlett 2010, 329.
- (22) Qi, X.; Ready, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7068.
- (23) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Rudolph, M.; Huck, J.; Frey, W.; Bats, J. W.; Hamzić, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5848.

(24) Yamasaki, R.; Terashima, N.; Sotome, I.; Komagawa, S.; Saito, S. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 480.

- (25) Miyauchi, Y.; Noguchi, K.; Tanaka, K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5856.
- (26) Miyauchi, Y.; Kobayashi, M.; Tanaka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10922.
- (27) Lemoine, P.; Daoust, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 6175.
- (28) Hanna, R.; Daoust, B. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 92.
- (29) Chen, X.; Kong, W.; Cai, H.; Kong, L.; Zhu, G. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 2164.
- (30) Chen, D.; Cao, Y.; Yuan, Z.; Cai, H.; Zheng, R.; Kong, L.; Zhu, G. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4071.
- (31) Chen, X.; Chen, D.; Lu, Z.; Kong, L.; Zhu, G. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6338.
- (32) Lu, Z.; Kong, W.; Yuan, Z.; Zhao, X.; Zhu, G. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 8524.
- (33) Lu, Z.; Xu, X.; Yang, Z.; Kong, L.; Zhu, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 3433.
- (34) Lu, Z.; Cui, W.; Xia, S.; Bai, Y.; Luo, F.; Zhu, G. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9871.
- (35) Zhu, G.; Chen, D.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, R. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5796.
- (36) Cai, H.; Yuan, Z.; Zhu, W.; Zhu, G. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8682.
- (37) Bai, Y.; Yin, J.; Kong, W.; Mao, M.; Zhu, G. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 7650.
- (38) Satoh, M.; Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Synthesis 1987, 373.
- (39) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457.
- (40) Gridnev, I. D.; Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5351.
- (41) Miyaura, N.; Maeda, K.; Suginome, H.; Suzuki, A. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2117.
- (42) Tucker, C. E.; Davidson, J.; Knochel, P. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3482.
- (43) Brown, H. C.; Campbell, J. B., Jr. Aldrichimica Acta 1981, 14, 3.
- (44) Beletskaya, I.; Pelter, A. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 4957.
- $\left(45\right)$ Trost, B. M.; Ball, Z. T. Synthesis 2005, 853 and references therein.
- (46) Gridnev, I. D.; Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Organometallics 1993, 12, 589.
- (47) Ohmura, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4990.
- (48) Hoffmann, R. W.; Krüger, J.; Brückner, D. New J. Chem. 2001, 25, 102.
- (49) Lipshutz, B. H.; Bošković, Z. V.; Aue, D. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 10183.
- (50) Semba, K.; Fujihara, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 4179.
- (51) Gunanathan, C.; Hölscher, M.; Pan, F.; Leitner, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14349.
- (52) Haberberger, M.; Enthaler, S. Chem.—Asian J. 2013, 8, 50.
- (53) Pereira, S.; Srebnik, M. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3127.
- (54) Shirakawa, K.; Arase, A.; Hoshi, M. Synthesis 2004, 1814.
- (55) Jouvin, K.; Bayle, A.; Legrand, F.; Evano, G. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1652.

(56) The following control experiments indicated that the hydroboration of ynol ethers in this paper should be an uncatalyzed reaction. For details on the hydroboration product **IIA**, see the Supporting Information.

$$n-\text{Bu} \longrightarrow \text{O-3-tol} \qquad \xrightarrow{\text{HBpin (1.1 equiv)}}_{\text{THF, rt}} \xrightarrow{n-\text{Bu}}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{\text{O-3-tol}}_{\alpha} \\ \text{Bpin IIA} \\ \text{Brive IIA} \\$$

(57) Selected NOE data are provided in the Supporting Information. Although we cannot obtain all NOE data of the products due to the peak overlap in the NMR spectra in some cases, the stereochemistry of other products should be reasonably deduced.

(58) Tanaka, R.; Miller, S. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 12, 1753.

(59) Lessi, M.; Masini, T.; Nucara, L.; Bellina, F.; Rossi, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 501.

(60) Barluenga, J.; Escribano, M.; Moriel, P.; Aznar, F.; Valdés, C. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 13291.

(61) Nilsson, P.; Larhed, M.; Hallberg, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8217.